

Strong Completeness of Classical Propositional Logic: Summary of Definitions and Results

by

Neil Tennant*

Department of Philosophy
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210
email tennant.9@osu.edu

January 31, 2008

CUT FOR ABSURDITY: $\frac{\Delta_1 \vdash \varphi \quad \Delta_2, \varphi \vdash \perp}{\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash \perp}$ holds for all systems here.

Definition 1 We write Δ, φ for $\Delta \cup \{\varphi\}$.

Definition 2 Δ decides φ just in case either $\Delta \vdash \varphi$ or $\Delta, \varphi \vdash \perp$.

Definition 3 Δ is decisive just in case Δ decides every sentence in the language of Δ .

Definition 4 (Expansions) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta \oplus \varphi =_{df} \Delta, \varphi \quad \text{if } \Delta, \varphi \not\vdash \perp; \\ \Delta \oplus \varphi =_{df} \Delta, \neg\varphi \quad \text{if } \Delta, \varphi \vdash \perp \end{array} \right.$

Observation 1 $\Delta \subseteq (\Delta \oplus \varphi)$.

Lemma 1 $\Delta \oplus \varphi$ decides φ .

Lemma 2 If $\Delta \not\vdash \perp$, then $\Delta \oplus \varphi \not\vdash \perp$.

*Please do not cite or circulate without the author's permission.

Definition 5 Let Φ be any countable list of sentences $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$. Let Δ be any set of sentences. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_0^\Phi &=_{df} \Delta \\ \Delta_{n+1}^\Phi &=_{df} (\Delta_n^\Phi) \oplus \varphi_n \\ \Delta^\Phi &=_{df} \bigcup_i \Delta_i^\Phi\end{aligned}$$

Observation 2 $\Delta = \Delta_0^\Phi \subseteq \Delta_1^\Phi \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Delta_n^\Phi \subseteq \Delta_{n+1}^\Phi \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Delta^\Phi$.

Lemma 3 If $\Delta \not\vdash \perp$, then for all n , $\Delta_n^\Phi \not\vdash \perp$.

Lemma 4 If $\Delta \not\vdash \perp$, then $\Delta^\Phi \not\vdash \perp$.

Lemma 5 Δ^Φ decides every member of the list Φ .

Lemma 6

(i) For any φ in Φ , if $\Delta^\Phi \vdash \varphi$, then φ is in Δ^Φ .

(ii) For any φ in Φ , if $\Delta^\Phi, \varphi \vdash \perp$, then $\neg\varphi$ is in Δ^Φ .

Definition 6 Let \mathbb{A} be a list of all atoms in the language of Δ .

Corollary 1 Let Δ be consistent. Then $\Delta^\mathbb{A}$ is consistent.

Corollary 2 $\Delta^\mathbb{A}$ decides every atom in the language of Δ .

Definition 7 A literal is an atom or the negation of an atom.

Definition 8 $|\Delta| =_{df}$ the set of literals deducible from Δ .

Corollary 3 Every literal deducible from $\Delta^\mathbb{A}$ is in $\Delta^\mathbb{A}$.

Definition 9 Let τ be a set of literals. We say that τ deals with φ just in case for each atom A in φ , either A or $\neg A$ is in τ (but not both).

Lemma 7 Suppose that the set τ of literals deals with φ . Then either $\tau \vdash \varphi$ or $\tau, \varphi \vdash \perp$.

Theorem 1 $\Delta^\mathbb{A}$ is decisive.

Note that $\Vdash \subseteq \vdash \subseteq \vdash_I \subseteq \vdash_C$.

Definition 10 $|\Delta|$ is the set of literals deducible from Δ , i.e. $\{\lambda \mid \Delta \vdash \lambda\}$.

Theorem 2 Suppose Δ is consistent and decisive. Then for every sentence φ :

- (i) if $\Delta \vdash \varphi$, then $|\Delta| \Vdash \varphi$; and
- (ii) if $\Delta, \varphi \vdash \perp$, then $|\Delta|, \varphi \Vdash \perp$.

Theorem 3 (Satisfiability)

If Δ is consistent, then for every member φ of Δ we have $|\Delta^A| \Vdash \varphi$.

Definition 11 We say Δ (classically) logically implies φ , or φ is a (classical) logical consequence of Δ , and write $\Delta \models \varphi$, just in case every coherent set of literals that verifies every member of Δ verifies φ (that is: for every coherent τ if $\tau \Vdash \Delta$, then $\tau \Vdash \varphi$). We write $\Delta \models \perp$ just in case there is no coherent set τ of literals such that $\tau \Vdash \Delta$.

Lemma 8 If $\Delta \models \varphi$, then $\Delta, \neg\varphi \models \perp$.

Definition 12 We write \vdash_C for the deducibility relation extending \vdash by being closed under the rule of classical reductio:

$$\frac{\Delta, \neg\varphi \vdash \perp}{\Delta \vdash \varphi}$$

Theorem 4 (Strong Completeness of Classical Propositional Logic)

If $\Delta \models \varphi$, then $\Delta \vdash_C \varphi$.

Lemma 9 (Double Negation Lemma) $\neg\neg\psi \models \psi$.

Definition 13 $\neg\neg\Gamma =_{\text{df}} \{\neg\neg\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Observation 3 If $\neg\neg\Gamma \vdash \perp$ then (since $\gamma \vdash \neg\neg\gamma$) we have $\Gamma \vdash \perp$. So by contraposition, if Γ is consistent, then $\neg\neg\Gamma$ is too.

Theorem 5 (Gödel–Gentzen–Glivenko, modified)

If $\Gamma \not\vdash \perp$ and $\Gamma \models \psi$ then $\neg\neg\Gamma \vdash \neg\neg\psi$.